	Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Docume	nt 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 1 of 8		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GREGORY K. WILKINSON, Bar No. 0548 ROBERT SAWYER, Bar No. 067417 ANTHONY J. VAN RUITEN, Bar No. 2560 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1650 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 325-4000 Facsimile: (919) 325-4010 E-Mail: Gregory.Wilkinson@bbklaw.com E-Mail: Robert.Sawyer@bbklaw.com E-Mail: Anthony.Vanruiten@bbklaw.com Attorneys for Friant Defendants, Defendant-Friant Water Users Authority and Proposed States Defendant-Intervenor Friant Water Authority	Intervenor Substituted		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	EASTERN DIST	RICI OF CALIFORNIA		
12				
13	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC., et al.,	Case No. S-88-1658-LKK/GGH		
14	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Hon. Lawrence K. Karlton		
15	v.	STIPULATION AND ORDER SUBSTITUTING PARTY		
16 17	KIRK RODGERS, a Regional Director of the UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, et al.,	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(c)]		
18	Defendants.			
19 20	ORANGE COVE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,			
21	Defendants-Intervenors.			
22				
23				
24	////			
25	////			
26	////			
27	////			
28				
		1		
	II			

- .

INTRODUCTION

WHEREAS, proposed substituted Defendant-Intervenor Friant Water Authority ("FWA"), proposed to be substituted for Defendant-Intervenor Friant Water Users Authority ("FWUA"), represents that it is a California Joint Powers Authority created pursuant to the California Joint Exercise of Powers Act (California Gov. Code §§ 6500 et seq.);

WHEREAS, FWUA and FWA each represent and warrant to the Court, Plaintiffs, Federal Defendants and the Friant Defendants that their powers pursuant to their respective joint powers agreements are substantially similar; that their respective memberships are at this time identical; and that FWA's membership includes, but is not limited to, the following irrigation and water districts, each and every one of which is also at this time a member of FWUA, and each and every one of which is presently a party in the within action and defined as a "Friant Party" in Definition (g) at Page 3 of the parties' Stipulation of Settlement, approved by the court's order entered herein on October 23, 2006 (Doc. #1376) ("Stipulation of Settlement"): Arvin-Edison Water Storage District, Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District, Exeter Irrigation District, Ivanhoe Irrigation District, Lindmore Irrigation District, Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District, Madera Irrigation District, Orange Cove Irrigation District, Porterville Irrigation District, Saucelito Irrigation District, Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District, Stone Corral Irrigation District, Tea Pot Dome Water District, Terra Bella Irrigation District, and Tulare Irrigation District;

WHEREAS, FWUA and FWA additionally each represent and warrant to the Court, Plaintiffs, Federal Defendants and the Friant Defendants that Fresno Irrigation District and Lower Tule River Irrigation District, each of which is named among the "Friant Division long-term contractors" in Definition (e) at Page 2 of the aforementioned Stipulation of Settlement, is each a member of both FWA and FWUA;

WHEREAS, FWUA and FWA additionally each represent and warrant to the Court, Plaintiffs, Federal Defendants and the Friant Defendants that Defendants and Intervenors

¹. In certain documents in this matter, including the Stipulation of Settlement, Defendant-Intervenor Tea Pot Dome Water District is referred to as "Teapot Dome Water District." The correct name of the agency is Tea Pot Dome Water District, and all parties hereto agree that Tea Pot Dome Water District and "Teapot Dome Water District" are one and the same entity.

Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Document 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 3 of 8

Chowchilla Water District and Southern San Joaquin Municipal Utility District were members of FWUA at the time of entry of the aforementioned Stipulation of Settlement and that neither is presently a member of either FWA or FWUA; however, each joins with all other parties in stipulating to the substitution proposed herein; and,

WHEREAS, under Paragraph 40 of the Stipulation of Settlement, the Stipulation of Settlement is binding upon all successors and assigns of FWUA:

<u>STIPULATION</u>

THEREFORE, FWUA and FWA represent and between themselves stipulate that FWA has assumed all activities, functions, resources, contracts, obligations, and debts of FWUA, including but not limited to all rights, benefits, responsibilities and obligations arising from the within matter, and more particularly has agreed to be bound by all terms and conditions of the aforementioned Stipulation of Settlement and the San Joaquin River Restoration Settlement Act (P.L. 111-11). Furthermore, the Chairman of each such Board and the General Manager/Consulting General Manager of each of FWA and FWUA, either acting alone on behalf of his respective entity, is authorized to execute all documents related thereto; and

FURTHER, based on the foregoing representations and warranties, which are incorporated here, all parties herein, by and through their respective attorneys of record, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Upon entry of an Order approving this Stipulation;
 - a. FWUA shall no longer be a party to the within action;
 - b. FWA shall be substituted as a party in the within action in the place and stead of FWUA, and shall be bound by all proceedings and Orders issued herein, including but not limited to the Order approving Stipulation of Settlement (Doc. # 1376);
 - c. FWA shall be subject to the San Joaquin River Restoration Settlement Act(P.L. 111-11) as if it were a party to the Stipulation of Settlement at the time the San Joaquin River Restoration Settlement Act was enacted into

	Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Document 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 5 of 8	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	DATED: July 20, 2010	
4	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL,	
5	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP ALTSHULER BERZON LLP	
6		
7	By <u>/S/ Hamilton Candee</u> Hamilton Candee	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Natural Resources Defense Council, <i>et al</i> .	
9		
10	DATED: July 16, 2010	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE NATURAL RESOURCES SECTION, ENVIRONMENT &	
13	NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	
14	By <u>/S/ Stephen M. Macfarlane</u> David B. Glazer	
15	Stephen M. Macfarlane Attorneys for Defendants	
16	KIRK RODGERS, et al.	
17	DATED: July 14, 2010	
18		
19 20	By <u>/S/ Ronald D. Jacobsma</u> Ronald D. Jacobsma	
21	Consulting General Manager, Friant Water Users Authority General Manager, Friant Water Authority	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5	

	Case 2:88-cv-(01658-JAM-GGH Document 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 6 of 8			
1	DATED: July 19, 2010				
2					
3		DOOLEY HERR PELTZER & RICHARDSON, LLP			
4		By <u>/S/Alex M. Peltzer</u>			
5		Alex M. Peltzer Attorneys for Defendants-Intervenors,			
6		Porterville Irrigation District, Stone Corral Irrigation District, Tea Pot Dome Water District, and Tulare Irrigation District			
7	DATED: July	15, 2010			
8		COMACH CIMMONG & DUNN			
9		SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN			
10		By /S/ Jacqueline McDonald Jacqueline McDonald			
11		Attorneys for Defendants-Intervenors, Saucelito Irrigation District			
12		Saucento Irrigation District			
13	DATED: July	13, 2010			
14		MINASIAN, SPRUANCE, MEITH, SOARES & SEXTON, LLP			
15					
16		By /S/ Jeffrey A. Meith Jeffrey A. Meith			
17		Attorneys for Defendants-Intervenors, Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District, Exeter Irrigation			
18		District, Ivanhoe Irrigation District, Lindmore Irrigation District, Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation			
19		District, Orange Cove Irrigation District, and Terra Bella Irrigation District			
20					
21	DATED: July	17, 2010			
22		YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP			
23 24					
25		By /S/ Ernest A. Conant Ernest A. Conant			
26		Attorneys for Defendants-Intervenors, Arvin-Edison Water Storage District, Shafter-Wasco			
27		Irrigation District, and Southern San Joaquin Municipal Utility District			
28					
-3		6			
1					

	Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Document 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 7 of 8			
1	DATED: July 30, 2010			
2	STAMMED MONICUT DADNIIM & DAII EVII D			
3	STAMMER, MCNIGHT, BARNUM & BAILEY LLP			
4	By / <u>S/ Bruce J. Berger</u> Bruce I. Berger			
5	By <u>/S/ Bruce J. Berger</u> Bruce J. Berger Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor, Chowchilla Water District			
6				
7	DATED: July 16, 2010			
8				
9	STOEL RIVES, LLP			
10	By /S/ Michael A. Campos			
11	By <u>/S/ Michael A. Campos</u> Michael A. Campos Attorneys for the Defendant-Intervenor, Madera Irrigation District			
12 13	Madera Irrigation District			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	7			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Document 1404 Filed 08/05/10 Page 8 of 8 **ORDER** Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing Stipulation be and hereby is approved. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 25(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Friant Water Authority is hereby substituted in the place and stead of Friant Water Users Authority. Dated: August 4, 2010 SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT